Studying Cepheids Using Optical Interferometry



Antoine Mérand



Baade-Wesselink Method:

$$\theta(T) - \theta(0) = -\frac{2}{d} \int_0^T \!\!\!\! v_p(t) dt$$
 Angular diameter - $\frac{2}{d} \int_0^T \!\!\!\!\! v_p(t) dt$ Puls. velocity

Interferometry can reach $\sigma_d/d\sim 1.5\%$ but P-L calibration is 10% right now

Status

• 1% distances need a 1% proofed method

• actual BW relation:

$$\theta_{\rm UD}(T) - \theta_{\rm UD}(0) = -\frac{2kp}{d} \int_0^T v_{\rm rad} dt$$

- p: spectroscopic factor V_{puls}/V_{rad}
- k: $\theta_{UD}/\theta_{\bigstar}$

Both can be studied / measured using optical interferometry



$k = \theta_{UD}/\theta_{\star}$: limb darkening?

- Polaris:
 - very small amplitude
 - first overtone
- Strange data set:
 - LD much stronger than models
 - deficit around
 V²=65%
- Presence of a larger component explains the deficit AND the apparent stronger LD





CSE around Cepheids

- Detected so far (visibility deficit):
 - Polaris: 4 baselines with CHARA/FLUOR
 - δ Cep: 3 baselines with CHARA/FLUOR
 - I Car: 3 baselines with VLTI/VINCI and in mid infrared using VLTI/MIDI
- No non-detection for similar stars

New Material (2006)

- July Run \rightarrow B~250m
 - 3 Cepheids with resolved pulsation (η Aql,Y Oph,Y Sgr)
 - I with unresolved pulsation (FF Aql)
 - Y Oph and η Aql offer interesting comparison with VLTI (and PTI)
- Fall Run → Visibility profile of a non pulsating yellow supergiant: α Per

CSE everywhere?

NO: check star checked out

- α Per: non pulsating SG in instability strip
- LD consistent with hydrostatic models (not the case for Polaris)
- B (m)

• $F_{CSE} < 0.26\%$



- VINCI @ 130m
- FLUOR @ 250m
- very similar instruments (K band)
- Y Oph appears larger for a small baseline than for a large one
- interpreted as a CSE effect



η Aql: surface brightness

- Take Fouque et al. (1997) surface
 brightness + V, J, K
 photometry
- agree with VINCI
- disagree with PTI and CHARA



One Possible explanation

 K band photometry correction for the CSE 2.5% "emission"

 Better agreement



A correlation with pulsation period?

- The larger P, the brighter the CSE ?
- M, L, R 🕶
- T_{eff}, g 🛰
- pulsation driven mass loss?
- Mass loss in known around Cepheids from UV/IR photometry



Why is this important?

• $\theta_{UD}/\theta_{\star}$ is now a

function of spatial resolution $B\theta/\lambda$

- IBW distance can be biased: up to 10%
- safe side: $B\theta/\lambda \ge 0.9$



To Do

- Keep working on data I already acquired
- Observe Y Oph and η Aql with FLUOR and B~100m to confirm FLUOR \leftrightarrow VINCI
- Observe other stars, including ζ Gem and others...
- 1% P-L calibration is getting closer

