

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology



Status Report on YSO & Main Sequence Circumstellar Dust

Rafael Millan-Gabet

CHARA Meeting - NYC/AMNH - March 14-15 2007



Context: NIR Sizes and the Inner Dust Disk



Characteristic near-infrared sizes of many YSOs measured by IOTA, PTI, and KI played an crucial role in establishing the new inner-disk paradigm: the "puffed-up" inner rim (Natta et al. 2001, Dullemond et al. 2001).

The next steps: determine the detailed physical properties of the inner disk (precise geometry and location, dust properties, inner gas component ...).

Important: for understanding the initial conditions for terrestrial planet formation.



What CHARA/Classic can Contribute



Long Baselines: 330m => resolution ~1mas or 0.15AU @ 150pc

Isolate the stellar component

Measure high sp. freqs. to probe detailed structure of putative inner rim ⁴



CHARA can test the new paradigm and discriminate between detailed models of the inner dust rim

- Curved inner dust rims expected physically
 - > Tsublimation dependence on gas density (Isella & Natta 05)
 - > Grain settling to and growth in midplane (Tannirkulam et al. 07)
- > Also favored by IOTA closure phases (Monnier et al 2006)
- > Predict less visibility "ringing" at long baselines





Finding Tiny Fringes on "Faint" Targets is Challenging ...

- Requires flux optimization and best seeing
- > 3 objects so far observed in this program:
 - > MWC275, V=6.8, K=4.7, A1, HAe object
 - > AB Aur, V=7.1, K=4.4, A0, HAe object
 - > MWC361, V=7.4, K=4.7, B2, HBe binary



Results to Date: Unexpected, Again! ...

- Favors smooth brightness
 - > Models with sharp features are ruled out
 - Even curved rims (smooth ring morphologies) do not work for these 2 systems ...
 - > MWC275 does not show sign of asymmetry (outer disk i=60deg)
 - > **Preliminary models**: large grains in inner rim + central gas, or remnant halo





Next

- Detailed modeling of new CHARA data + existing vis. + SED data to test/constrain the new physical models being proposed.
- More objects:

CHARA limits (first proposals): V<9, K<6 (point source), could do:

- > 10 HAeBe objects. But only 4 have K<5, closer to real limit for small fringes
- > 1 T Tauri object
- > 1 FU Ori object
- > If Vlimit=12, Klimit=8 (e.g. scaling from IOTA), then could do:
- > 13 T Tauri objects, 4 FU Ori objects



Debris Disks

- Circumstellar material around main sequence stars
 - First observed by IRAS, now imaging from optical to submillimeter
 - Dust grain survival time scales can be used to argue that material is not primordial, but must be generated from collisions of larger bodies
 - Spitzer observations are greatly expanding the number of known sources, but can not directly measure spatial distribution
 - Structures in images debris disks have been used to infer planetary sized bodies







What CHARA can do

Interferometry can determine the spatial distribution of the material close to the star [first results on Vega, Ciardi et al 2001 - PTI; Absil et al 2006 -CHARA]

- > Much more sensitive to small amounts of emission than SED modeling
 - ✤ NIR SED cannot constrain excess at the few% level
 - ✤ IRAS, Spitzer excess traces dust further from star [10s AU]
- > Use the interferometer to compare emission on short and long baselines
 - Short baselines = large spatial scales (star + disk)
 - Long baselines = small spatial scales (star only)





The Sample so far

(see also the work of Absil & Di Folco)

- > "Young" MS stars, 100-300 Myr.
- By analogy with our own Solar System, good candidates for planet searches (e.g TPF sample).

- beta Leo = Denebola
- > A3V star, V=2.1, K=1.9
- ≻ d = 11 pc

- > zeta Lep
- > A2V star, V=3.5, K=3.3
- ≻ d = 22 pc



Preliminary Results

- > Detected visibilities on short baselines lower than expected from the star → presence of additional large scale material.
- > bet Leo: $\Delta(V^2) = 0.056$, 5.7 σ , ~4% incoherent K-flux
- > zet Lep: $\Delta(V^2) = 0.028$, 4.7 σ , ~2% incoherent K-flux





Detecting %-level Visibility Changes is Challenging ...

- > It is crucial to understand/believe the calibration.
- > We have used check stars for which we expect no vis deficits.
 - \succ Delta Leo: short baseline vis low by 1 σ , some of it due to rotation
 - > 11 Eri: no deficit
- > We have compared the results of the FLUOR and IOTA/FLUOR pipelines.
 - Results are consistent w.i. their error bars, but have in some cases differences that would impact the interpretation.
- Ability to draw definite conclusions limited by small data set, especially on short baselines, and for the check stars.
- > Estimated FLUOR errors are consistent with rms of visibilities.
- > Estimated FLUOR visibilities for same object are consistent night-to-night.
- We would all probably benefit from a definitive test of the calibration & estimated errors (in relevant SNR,V2 regime) - is there a good test case at the %level? (binary?).





Constrain near-infrared emission geometry and origin

- ✤ Simple dust disk model from Rsublimation to FOV (~5AU)
- Scattered light models
- ✤ Joint SED and visibility fitting

> How is the material measured at K band and by Spitzer related

- ✤ Single blackbody fit to Spitzer data suggests origin at a small range of radii
- ✤ Is the disk continuous or are there gaps?
- > Is near-infrared emission common from these disks?
 - * More observations planned