PAVO, SUSI and ROCS: Status and Future Plans
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PAVO

Precision Astronomical Visible Observations ... or a peacock ... or a turkey

Goal at CHARA is to beat VEGA by:
- Factor of 2 in sensitivity.
- Factor of 2 in accuracy.
- Factor of 2 in observing cadence for same S/N.
  (but no high spectral resolution mode)
Timeline...

• Jan 2007: Concept for ARC proposal
• May 2007: Hal and Theo agreed to channel Keck vis combiner money to PAVO prior to ARC announcement
• August 2007-Jan 2008: Construction
• March-July 2008: Commissioning
• Aug-Dec 2008: Shared risk science
PAVO Optical Concept

Quick Intro:
1) The PAVO beam-combiner places 2 (SUSI) or 3 (CHARA) star images beside each other.
2) The images pass through a mask, which acts as a spatial filter.
3) Fringes are formed in a pupil-plane.
4) An IFU turns these fringes into a data cube, with an image of the fringes at every wavelength (R~50, 620-950nm).
PAVO: Precision Astronomical Visible Observations

- SUSI/CHARA Classic... Full pupil summed in a two “pixels”, temporal modulation.
- PAVO: 120 (SUSI) and 6000 (CHARA) pixels over the pupil, spatial modulation.
- Spectral dispersion enables group-delay tracking.

Pupil fringes at single wavelength

Integral Field Unit
CHARA: 16 lenslets
Think of a data cube

Broad-band Fringes after IFU
Notes: Spatial-filtering 1

• The image-plane spatial filter is a pinhole spatial-filter, that should allow >50% of the starlight through in ~1.2” seeing (neglecting aberrations).

• Side comment: In the presence of aberrations, the left half of the pupil might make it through one hole, and the right half through another. Then there is no overlap and zero visibility. So the aberrations affect reliability as well as sensitivity.
Notes: Spatial-Filtering 2

1) Phase aberrations across the pupil occur at all spatial frequencies.
2) By band-limiting the flux in the image-plane (i.e. passing the light pass through a mask), phase aberrations can only occur at low spatial frequencies.
3) The effect of finite sampling in the pupil-plane then becomes an analytic correction to the fringe visibility

$V^2$ is completely insensitive to phase across the (spatially-filtered) pupil

$$A_{cor}(x', y') = A(x, y) / \text{sinc} \left( \frac{\pi x' S_x}{\lambda D} \right) / \text{sinc} \left( \frac{\pi y' S_y}{\lambda D} \right) .$$
Notes: Pupil-plane Fringes

- *Saying all this another way…* Seeing and aberrations will prevent fringes from being straight, but as long as 2 pupils are evenly illuminated, the instantaneous fringe visibility should be 1.
- As well as band-limiting the fringes, the spatial-filter causes non-uniform intensity, requiring moderately frequent photometry measurements.
The coherent “sub-apertures” which have fringes on them could be in an image-plane, a pupil plane or something in-between. The choice of a pupil-plane was chosen because we have no photometric taps, and intensity should be more stable in a pupil-plane.

“Sub-apertures” can be made in the image or pupil planes.

System response (point source $V^2$ etc…) is a weighted average of a function of intensity… … so we want intensity to be stable

$$V_{sys}^2 = \frac{1}{N_{subap}} \sum_{pupil} \frac{4I_1I_2}{(I_1 + I_2)^2}$$
Optics at CHARA
L1 (2m achromat)
CHARA Collaboration Year-Five Science Review
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Commissioning 2008

- PAVO@CHARA has tracked 3-baseline fringes at R=8.2 so far (few micron RMS, ~10 sec typical fringe lock, ~1 Hz correction bandwidth).
- On track for R=9 (excellent conditions) with PAVO improvements only, or R=10 with improved CHARA image quality.
- R=7 is the limit for median conditions, and sensitivity goes as N*V^2, so e.g. 2nd lobe work has a typical R=2 or worse limit.

10ms on-sky (lma Uma)

4 stars, 8 obs
RMS=5%

Raw V2 (no t0 correction)
Data Analysis Pipeline

• Language: Initially (e.g. SPIE) written in yorick. This had problems with debugging, so we’ve moved to IDL.
• Contributors: Daniel Huber, Nathan Buttersworth and Aaron Rizzuto, Peter Tuthill and me.
• Issues: Foreground subtraction, Speed, Complexity, Data Size (>1 TB… compressed)
• Release Date: Now in CVS – expect a usable version with minimal documentation by April 4.
V^2: Single Baseline

- 3-Methods for foreground-subtraction:
  - Get bias from unused baselines.
  - Get bias from power spectrum in-between baselines.
  - Use foreground files to find gain, i.e. \( \text{bias} = \text{gain} \times \text{flux} \)
- Even with good foreground subtraction, there are calibration issues.

(~half the data doesn’t show these effects)
Example V² Science

4 minutes (including overheads) on the binary HD 28294 (76 Tau, HIP 20873, vB 68), a Hyades binary that had not been previously resolved. Calibration at the percent level. This binary was resolved on S1S2, has a projected separation of 24 mas (error <0.2 mas) and a contrast ratio of 2.6 magnitudes.
Example $V^2$ Science

Eps Ori calibrated with HD 35299. 0.68 +/- 0.005 mas diameter. We are systematically analyzing all single-baseline data for 2008...
Preliminary Closure-Phases...

Atlas (SB2 in Pleiades) + 2 Cals: obviously huge Closure-phase signal

Electra, Cal 1 (binary?)

Cal 2, R=5.5
SUSI – main specifications

Latitude: –30° 19' 20"

Baselines (North-South): 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 55, 80, 110, 160 ... 640 m

Siderostat diameter 20 cm; beam diameter 14 cm in vacuum pipe; 4.7 cm after Beam Reducing Telescope

Piezo-actuated tip-tilt mirrors

Optical Path Length Compensator

Longitudinal Dispersion Corrector

Beam combiners:
  Blue Table (to 2006)
  Red Table (to 2009)
  PAVO (from 2009)
SUSI
optical
train

siderostat mirror on alt-az mount

beam reducing telescope

periscopes to vacuum pipe below

optical path length compensator (delay line)

tip-tilt mirrors
Blue table beam combiner

- 1991 to 2006
- Photomultiplier tube detectors
- Quad cell PMT tip-tilt detectors
- $\lambda$ 430 – 520 nm
- $\Delta\lambda$ 1 - 4 nm
- $B_{\text{limit}}$ ~2.5

Programs
- Early-type stars
- Emission lines
- Early-type binaries
Red table beam combiner

- 2004 to 2008
- piezo-scanning system
- APD detectors
- tip-tilt on CCD
- $\lambda$ 500 – 950 nm
- $\Delta\lambda$ 5% - 20% e.g. 80 nm at 700 nm
- $R_{\text{limit}} \sim 5.0$

Programs
- Late-type stars
- Binaries
- Cepheids
PAVO beam combiner – preliminary setup

- spectrally dispersed system using L3 CCD
- $\lambda$ 520 - 800 nm in ~20 channels
- pupil segmentation into 16 slices
- optimised spatial filtering
- tip-tilt uses $\lambda < 520$ nm, to same CCD
- limit $R \sim 6.5$
- 800-950nm for astrometry

Programs
- Binaries; low mass ratio
- diverse stellar types
Progress...

• First PAVO@SUSI fringes in November 2008 (6 years after Red Table)
• Since then – the Longitudinal Dispersion Corrector has failed and is being upgraded (Bill Tango lead)
• Throughput/focus issues have been diagnosed and largely fixed. Replacement for 40m lenses over coming 2 months.

Cut through fringes
Remote Observing...

- SUSI is 7 hours drive from Sydney, or ~3 hours travel if you fly.
- With such a small team – regular travel to SUSI is unrealistic and we are aiming for remote obs. From Sydney until ~midnight, and from GSU (or anywhere else?) after this...
- Hardware changes: a new acquisition system computer+software, a bunch of computerized sensors (like the path compensator home sensor), motors for siderostat roofs, remote sky and weather monitoring...
- On-site support: We have a contract with the co-located radio telescope staff (Mike Hill) to help with weekly alignment, pumping down vacuum and minor bugshooting.
Remote Observing...

The Remote Observing Center Sydney (ROCS) At at various stages of completion...
Security Cameras/Webcams
Sky Monitoring
Science - Sco-Cen

PAVO@SUSI Primary Science Goal: A survey of and for intermediate and high mass binary stars in the Sco-Cen OB association.
HR Diagram for southern bright stars – plenty of stellar astrophysics waiting!

\[ V < 4, \delta < +15^\circ, \text{Parallax error (older Hipparcos)} < 31\% \]
Future Plans...

- Early April: Release the preliminary PAVO@CHARA pipeline.
- May/June: First PAVO@SUSI remote observations.
- July: Submission of first PAVO paper.
- August: Call for PAVO@SUSI proposals.
- Long-term PAVO@CHARA upgrades...
  - Hardware: None. The lenslet array is still not AR coated (8% loss) and there is no polarimetry mode.
  - Software: Plenty: Aberration removal in software, better weighting, vis/IR simultaneous operation...