

Monitoring Changes in **Be Star Disks Using MIRC**

Gail Schaefer (CHARA/GSU)

Collaborators:

Doug Gies John Monnier Ming Zhao Noel Richardson Yamina Touhami Xiao Che

E. Pedretti N. Thureau T. ten Brummelaar N. H. Turner H. A. McAlister S. T. Ridgway

J. Sturmann L. Sturmann C. D. Farrington P. J. Goldfinger

















Motivation for the Project

- MIRC program to measure asymmetries in the disks of Be stars
- Long-term monitoring to follow changes in the disks

Outline

- Four epochs of MIRC data on Zeta Tau

 Results and Interpretation
- Promising targets for the future





Be Star Properties

- Rapidly rotating B-type stars that eject gas into a circumstellar disk
- Evidence for disks observed in Hα emission lines, IR excess flux, linear polarization
 - e.g. Porter & Rivinius 2003
- Variable on timescales of days to decades



Bill Pounds

















Hα Profile Variability



Pollmann & Rivinius 2008

atoire





One-armed Spiral Oscillations

,_ѕ шҗ/л

009-

ru F

S. I.

Ω

 $\frac{1000069}{091} = 0$

009

Density enhancement that moves outward through the disk





Hanuschik et al. 1995

Fig. 16. Distorted part of the density field, σ_1 (as taken from Okazaki 1991), and observed Fe II λ 5317 profiles of δ Cen, plotted at approximate angles $\omega = 0^\circ, 90^\circ, 180^\circ, 320^\circ$ corresponding to the mutual orient tation of the observer and the precessing nodal line of σ_1 . Particles in the disk rotate counterclockwise. Dark areas denote $\sigma_1 < 0$, bright news $\sigma_1 > 0$











GeorgiaStateUniver

Summary of Previous Interferometric Observations of Zeta Tau

- Elliptical shape of Zeta Tau disk
 - Circumstellar material in a flattened disk inclined nearly edge-on to the line of sight
 - Mark III Quirrenbach et al. (1994, 1997)
 - COAST Baldwin & Haniff (2002)
 - NPOI Tycner et al. (2004)
- Geometry and density structure of the disk

LESIA

Isothermal disk model in Keplerian rotation

bservatoire

• CHARA-Classic – Gies et al. 2007













Summary of Previous Interferometric Observations of Zeta Tau

- Asymmetry detected differential phases
 - Shift in position of bulge in disk
 - GI2T Vakili et al. (1998)



- Consistent with oscillation pattern created by one-armed spiral in disk
 - Visibilities and differential phases
 - VLTI AMBER Stefl et al. 2009, Carciofi et al. 2009







v (10⁶ cycles)



\\\\|**!**//

2008Sep

MIRC Visibilities



Elliptical shape and Orientation of Zeta Tau disk





Non-zero Closure Phases



Asymmetry in the light distribution of Zeta Tau





Geometric Modeling of Zeta Tau

Skewed Elliptical Gaussian

- Central star with fixed uniform diameter of 0.40 mas
- Elliptical Gaussian circumstellar disk
 - modulated as a function of azimuth by a sinusoid
 - causes brightness distribution to peak on one side of disk and places a depression in the brightness on the other side















Geometric Models of Zeta Tau



- FWHM Major Axis ~ 1.8 mas
- On average, the central star contributes ~ 55% of the light in the H-band
- Change in position angle of the major axis??? 10-15°

Observatoire LESIA

Motion of the asymmetry?

GeorgiaStateUniversity

Hα Profile Variability

• V/R ratio:

GeorgiaStateUniversi

 Ratio between the relative heights of the blue (violet) and redshifted emission line peaks



Observatoire LESIA



$H\alpha$ Profile Variability

V/R ratio varies with a cyclical period of 1429 days

GeorgiaStateUniversi

Can be explained by one-
 armed spiral oscillation

 models



Stefl et al. 2009

Observatoire LESIA



V/R Variation Continued Through Time Span of the MIRC Observations



- Spectra downloaded by from Bess database maintained at GEPI Laboratory of the Observatorie de Paris-Meudon
- Two spectra from Univerisity of Toledo Ritter Observatory (courtesy of E. Hesselbach & K. Bjorkman)
- One Spectra from Kitt Peak National Observatory Coude Feed Telescope (courtesy of E. Grundstrom)





Disk Position Angle vs. Hα V/R Phase





Disk Precession?



Tilt of disk associated with one-armed spiral density enhancement









Very Interesting.... but is it real???



Very Interesting.... but is it real???

- Systematic effects in calibration?
 - MIRC visibilities good to ~ 10% (prior to X-chan)
 - Calibration could affect different baselines in different ways affecting relative size of major and minor axis
 - Monte Carlo simulations suggest an uncertainty in the PA $\sim 5^\circ$
 - Observations on multiple night runs are consistent with each other when fit separately (2008 Sept 26-28)
- Sampling of UV-coverage?





Disk Position Angle vs. Hα V/R Phase



Very Interesting.... but is it real???

- Systematic effects in calibration?
 - MIRC visibilities good to ~ 10% (prior to X-chan)
 - Calibration could affect different baselines in different ways affecting relative size of major and minor axis
 - Monte Carlo simulations suggest an uncertainty in the PA $\sim 5^\circ$
 - Observations on multiple night runs are consistent with each other when fit separately (2008 Sept 26-28)
- Sampling of UV-coverage?





2008 Sept 26, 27, 28



Position angle consistent within 0.5 degrees between nights



Very Interesting.... but is it real???

- Systematic effects in calibration?
 - MIRC visibilities good to $\sim 10\%$ (prior to X-chan)
 - Calibration could affect different baselines in different ways affecting relative size of major and minor axis
 - Monte Carlo simulations suggest an uncertainty in the PA $\sim 5^\circ$
 - Observations on multiple night runs are consistent with each other when fit separately (2008 Sept 26-28)
- Sampling of UV-coverage?





Position angle consistent within 3 degrees





Extended polar wind component??? (e.g. Achernar – Kanaan 2008, Kervella et al. 2009)













Maybe?







More Evidence in Support of Precession?



Pollmann & Rivinius 2008, Zeta Tau





V/R Variation Continued Through Time Span of the MIRC Observations





Precessional vs. Orbital Period

- Zeta Tau has a spectroscopic binary companion in a 133 day orbit (Ruzdjak et al. 2009)
- Any tilt of the Be star's disk will be modulated by the tidal force of the binary companion
- Approximately twice each orbit, a tilted disk will experience in tidal torque in the direction of coalignment with the orbital plane
 - Nodding motion (e.g. X-ray binaries, Collins & Scher 2002)
- Nodding period: $Pn = \frac{Pp Pb}{2(Pp Pb)} = 73.1 \text{ days}$
- Close to V/R modulation period of 69.3 ± 0.2 d (Pollmann & Rivinius 2008)





More Evidence in Support of Precession?



Pollmann & Rivinius 2008, Zeta Tau





What About the Polarization angle?

- Intrinsic polarization angle has remained remarkably stable over the last decade (McDavid 1999; Stefl et al. 2009)
- No evidence of changes as large as those seen in the interferometric data

Is There a Resolution?





What About the Polarization angle?

- Intrinsic polarization angle has remained remarkably stable over the last decade (McDavid 1999; Stefl et al. 2009)
- No evidence of changes as large as those seen in the interferometric data

Is There a Resolution?

- Polarization probes scattering radiation from the innermost part of the disk where it is probably co-aligned with stellar equator (source of ejected material)
- Tilt associated with larger scale structure of spiral oscillation in disk measured by interferometry





Other Be Stars Observed with MIRC

- Gam Cas, Phi Per – Monnier, Zhao, Che, Pedretti, Thureau
- Delta Sco, Omi Her
 - Touhami, Gies, Schaefer
- Beta CMi, Eta Tau





Other Be stars Observed with MIRC: Beta CMi















bservatoire

Future Work



- Short-term: Finish paper on Zeta Tau results
- Short-long: Continue MIRC program on Be stars
- Long-term: Compare precession model with full 3dimensional models for one-armed spiral oscillation

