



# VLTI Update and A Proposal for Optical 'Self-Cal'

Gerard T. van Belle

PRIMA Instrument Scientist European Southern Observatory March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2010





## VLTI status



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



#### Mature instruments

- > AMBER/MIDI
- > FINITO
- VLTI Developments
  - > AT Upgrades
  - Instrumentation
    - ✤ PRIMA
    - ✤ GRAVITY
    - ✤ MATISSE
    - \* PIONIER
  - > Infrastructure studies
    - ✤ 2GFT (4×fringe tracking)
    - NAOMI (adaptive optics)
    - MAMMUT (pathlength control)



## VLTI status: New Instruments



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



#### > PRIMA

- Dual-beam 2×AT, UT observing
- > GRAVITY
  - > Dual-beam 4×UT 10µas faint  $(m_{\rm K} < 19)$  astrometry
  - Probe strong gravity regime by observing galactic center
- > MATISSE
  - 4×AT, UT L,M,N-band imaging
- > PIONIER
  - Visitor instrument
  - ▶ 4×AT, UT K-band imaging



09.03.2010



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere





## PRIMA: The Dual-Feed Facility for VLTI

- PRIMA = Phase Referenced Imaging and Microarcsecond Astrometry
- "Two interferometers in one" tied together by laser metrology
- An instrument or a facility?A bit of both
- > Enables 3 new modes:
  - > Stand-alone instrument: Astrometry
  - Facility feeding AMBER/MIDI:
    - \*Faint star science (like singleaperture NGS)
    - \*Phase-referenced imaging



Beam

Combiners

Gerard van Belle

**Delav Line** 

Differential

09.03.2010

5





## PRIMA Modes Details

#### > Astrometry

- Primary star: science target, bright (K<8), possibly has planet,</li>
  - used to phase instrument



- Secondary star: dim (ΔK<5), background, astrometrically stable (as verified by RV if necessary)
- >  $\triangle OPD$  between two interferometers  $\rightarrow$  astrometric separation vector  $\rightarrow$  science at the ~30µas level

#### > Faint object science

- > Primary star: bright (K<8), boring, used to phase instrument
- Secondary star (or ? see image above): science target, dim (ΔK<5), fed into AMBER/MIDI
- >  $V^2$  measurements of AMBER/MIDI  $\rightarrow$  science

#### > Phase referenced imaging

- Like faint star science operationally, with addition of PRIMET metrology
- ≻  $V^2$ ,  $\Delta \phi$  measurements of AMBER/MIDI → science

09.03.2010

## Faint-Object Mode Example



≻

≻

European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



- Objective: long synthetic coherence time for faintobject detection – fundamentally enabled by dual-beam optical design
- The analog of singleaperture AO
  - Fringe tracking piston correction signal on one object is used to correct the piston on a second, nearby (isoplanatic separation) object
  - Required for VLTI (and KI) faint-object interferometry
  - Phase error with and without loop closed between the two PTI fringe trackers
  - Two data segments taken within 200 s of each other



09.03.2010

## **PRIMA** Commissioning



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



VLTI PRIMA Project

- > All the possible science sounds *great*
- > When's it going to be ready?
  - See cartoon above
- > Let's take a step back and see *how* it's being done

09.03.2010

Gerard van Belle

www.xkcd.com

## **PRIMA** Architecture



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



- Auxiliary Telescopes (ATs)
  - Collects starlight
- Star Separators (STSs)
  - > Picks out two sources in a 120" FOV
  - > Tip-tilt field stabilization (STRAP)
  - Metrology endpoint
- Main Delay Lines
  - Provide optical path delay to both starlight beams
- Differential Delay Lines (DDLs)
  - Provide optical path delay to individual starlight beams
- Fringe Sensor Units (FSUs)
  - > Twin fringe trackers for starlight
- PRIMA Metrology (PRIMET)
  - > Ties two starlight beam paths together
- Infrared Image Stabilizer (IRIS)
  - > Tracks residual tip-tilt errors in lab
- > MARCEL
  - Calibration source



09.03.2010

## **PRIMA** Architecture



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



- Auxiliary Telescopes (ATs)
   Collects starlight
- Star Separators (STSs)
  - Picks out two sources in a 120" FOV
  - > Tip-tilt field stabilization (STRAP)
  - Metrology endpoint
  - Main Delay Lines
    - Provide optical path delay to both starlight beams
- Differential Delay Lines (DDLs)
  - Provide optical path delay to individual starlight beams
- Fringe Sensor Units (FSUs)
  - > Twin fringe trackers for starlight
- PRIMA Metrology (PRIMET)
  - > Ties two starlight beam paths together
- Infrared Image Stabilizer (IRIS)
  - > Tracks residual tip-tilt errors in lab
- > MARCEL
  - Calibration source





09.03.2010





## PRIMA Commissioning: Sub-System Testing during 2009

- FSU demonstrated good performance
  - >  $m_{\rm K} \approx 8$  expected for reasonable conditions
- PRIMA metrology operating out from VLTI lab to ATs & back
- Additional subsystems functional and/or maturing rapidly
- Differential delay lines, ISS software, star separators,
   09.03.2010 astrometric software



MetQuadCentroids2008-10-25T22.49.18.828020.txt MetQuadCentroids2008-10-25T22.36.04.788019.txt



## **PRIMA** Commissioning: FSUA+MIDI Fringe Tracking Tests



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere

Project



#### Engineering test of PRIMA+MIDI

- > MIDI can provide fringe tracking (FTK) for itself
- > Same function can also be provided by PRIMA
- Tests carried out in July, Sept 2009 commissioning runs
- > Caveat emptor: Non-standard mode
- > Promising results
  - FTK errors (group delay residuals) are an order of magnitude less with **PRIMA FTK**
  - > Also, fringes detected for targets too faint for MIDI FTK ( $F_{12} \approx 1$ Jy) ✤ Well below the AT limit of 20Jy
  - > Calibration **unclear**, though, due to open photometry questions – work in progress on that front
- > Future work
- Follow-up tests with PRIMA+MIDI, PRIMA+AMBER in dual-feed
  1

## PRIMA Commissioning: Dual Beam tests in Dec 2009, Feb 2010

- PRIMA's unique strength will be through simultaneous interferometry of 2 stars at once
- Four starlight beams (2×2 stars) stabilized in tip-tilt for the 1<sup>st</sup> time in VLTI lab in Paranal in Dec 2009
  - Further testing in Feb 2010
  - Dual-star astrometry then follows with 2×FTK+metrology
- Development of this functionality into a fully operational capability the major goal of P85 commissioning work
  - Many sub-system punchlist items remain, along with system integration challenges
  - First PRIMA astrometry to be demonstrated in P85





European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



Gera

09.03.2010





# PRIMA Commissioning Plans: P85, P86

- Next commissioning runs: July, September 2010
  - Heavy science subscription prior to July
  - > Dual-star FTK demonstration
     → astrometric separation vectors
- Period 86 (Oct 2010-Mar 2011)
  - Astrometric commissioning runs
    - Minimum of 4×10<sup>d</sup>
  - PRIMA + MIDI, AMBER-2T commissioning, SV?
    - Two short runs should suffice for faint object mode commissioning



Johannes Sahlmann (Geneva Obs.) does the PRIMA AIV circus act: trapeze not included





## Additional Future Plans

- > PIONIER: next 12 months
- > Infrastructure
- > AT Upgrade: next 12 months
  > NAOMI, etc.: next 2-3 years
  > MATISSE, GRAVITY: ~2013-2014





CFHT MegaPrime image - 2003

09.03.2010

Gerard van Belle

15





# Optical Interferometry 'Self-Cal'





## Simple Starting Point

Example: Uniform Disk Star

- > Angular size = 2mas
- > 'True' signal of  $V^2$ 
  - Decreases with increasing baseline
  - Rate of decrease is connected to wavelength of operation







#### Imperfect Observation: Atmosphere, Instrument

- Measured signal is less than unit visibility for point sources
  - Drives the need for calsci-cal observation cycle to re-normalize data
    - Additional requirement for point sources, too
  - See the discussion in van Belle & van Belle (2005)
- Furthermore, can be timevariable
  - Changes in seeing, instrument parameters
  - Weakens the validity of calsci-cal renormalization, particularly for low cadence rates



09.03.2010





# Consider $V_{\lambda 1}^2/V_{\lambda 2}^2$ Ratios

- > At a given baseline,  $V_{\lambda 1}^2/V_{\lambda 2}^2$  ratio is uniquely linked to angular size
- > Important: Ratio is independent of normalization
- Single sci measurement, spectrally dispersed, can provide ratios, without corresponding cal observation



# Example Case: HIP113715



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



#### > PTI observations

- > 85m, 109m
   baselines both
   used
- Multiple nights
- 5 'narrow-band' channels across
   K-band
- Carbon Star
  - Deviates from UD assumption strongly, particularly at band edges



09.03.2010

# Example Case: HIP113715



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



#### > PTI observations

- > 85m, 109m
   baselines both
   used
- Multiple nights
- 5 'narrow-band' channels across
   K-band
- Carbon Star
  - Deviates from UD assumption strongly, particularly at band edges



09.03.2010

## Fit to HIP113715 Data



European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere



- Fit is done for 2.1,
   2.3/2.2µm ratios
  - Avoids HCN, H<sub>2</sub>O, CO bandheads at edges of K-band
  - 2.1-2.3µm channels are 'continuum'
  - Size: 2.446±0.032
     mas
- Existing 'normal'
   cal-sci-cal data
  - ➤ Size: 2.470±0.003 mas
  - Errors probably underestimated here
  - > Ratios not in 'sweet spot' ( $V^2 \sim 0.3$  to 0.6)



09.03.2010





# Something useful here?

- > No cal-sci-cal cycle needed
  - \* Greater throughput, no sensitivity to seeing changes
- In fact, no point-like calibrator needed?
  - \* Could be quite useful for short- $\lambda$  instruments

#### ≻ Con

> Pro

- Is visibility normalization wavelength-dependent?
  If so, can this be calibrated? Just once for a night or run?
- ➢ Different 'sweet spot' is further down visibility curve
   → lower resolution at a given wavelength
- > Uniform disk assumption here is stronger than before
  - Sut approach seems to work for a carbon star, so should be OK for 'better behaved' photospheres?

09.03.2010



09.03.2010